



RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Upgrades Hillsborough County Port District's Rev Bonds to 'A+'; Outlook Stable

Fri 17 Nov, 2023 - 2:48 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - New York - 17 Nov 2023: Fitch Ratings has upgraded the rating on Hillsborough County Port District, FL's (Port Tampa Bay, the port) approximately \$75 million in outstanding revenue bonds and notes to 'A+' from 'A'. The Rating Outlook is Stable.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ⇅	RATING ⇅	PRIOR ⇅
Hillsborough County Port District (FL)		
Hillsborough County Port District (FL) /Port Facility Revenues - First Lien/1 LT	LT A+ Rating Outlook Stable Upgrade	A Rating Outlook Positive

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

RATING RATIONALE

The upgrade reflects the Port of Tampa Bay's continued capital investments that have supported strong throughput and revenue performance and are expected to drive additional throughput growth in the near term. Rating case metrics are supportive of the 'A+' rating, with total coverage remaining above 2.0x and leverage remaining below 3x under a scenario which incorporates modest downside stresses coupled with manageable additional leverage over the near to medium term.

The rating reflects the port's diversified operating revenues supported by contractual agreements that bolster revenue stability, coupled with a strong fiscal position evidenced by stable liquidity and low leverage. The port's diversified operations and modest exposure to cruise help to insulate financial performance from fluctuations from any one business line. The rating also reflects near-term capex primarily funded by grants and port revenues, reducing reliance on debt while recognizing the adequate headroom for future borrowing provided by the port's financial profile.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Risk - Volume - High Midrange

Strategic Location: The port's proximity to downtown Tampa with a significant catchment area in central Florida and its competitive position as the deepest gulf port in Florida support a varied mix of cargo and cruise businesses; both have shown modest resilience during periods of economic downturn. The port's revenue diversity helps to soften volatility attributable to

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

Diversified Revenue Base: Long-term leases account for approximately 40-50% of operating revenues, insulating cash flows and financial metrics from the port's exposure to potential volume fluctuations. The port's revenues are expected to substantially track inflation and there is minimal evidence of political interference.

Infrastructure Dev. & Renewal - Stronger

Manageable Capital Plan: The port's anticipated capital investments include several improvement and expansion projects that seek to increase intermodal connectivity and enhance the current revenue base. Short-term capital projects are fully funded through cash or grants, and management does not expect substantial levels of additional debt to support longer term capital needs. The port maintains significant debt capacity as existing obligations fall substantially over the next few years. The port's credit is further enhanced by the district's ability to levy an ad valorem tax used to fund capital projects, reducing the dependency on port operations for funding.

Debt Structure - 1 - Stronger

Limited Variable-Rate Debt: The port's debt is fully fixed rate or synthetically swapped to fixed rate. The debt structure currently reflects a rapid amortization profile over the next few years, providing considerable flexibility if the port pursues additional borrowing for projects under its master plan. The lack of cash-funded debt service reserves is somewhat offset by the port's maintenance of a strong cash position which is consistently equal to over 500 days cash on hand. The lack of a dedicated reserve offers bondholders less protection should cash expenditures for the capital plan erode liquidity over time.

Financial Profile

The port's healthy financial performance is evidenced by senior and total debt service coverage ratios (DSCRs) of 4.8x and 3.8x, respectively, in fiscal 2023 based on unaudited financials. The port maintains unrestricted cash and reserves in excess of senior debt outstanding, such that senior net debt to cash flow available for debt service (CFADS) is presently negative as of FYE 2023. On a total basis, total net debt to CFADS is low at 0.4x. All-in DSCRs average 3.3x under the Fitch rating case, and leverage remains low when including a potential future borrowing in 2025, reaching 1.2x in 2028.

PEER GROUP

Peers include Jacksonville Port Authority (A/Stable) and Canaveral Port Authority (A-/Positive), both located in the Florida market. Jacksonville and Tampa both benefit from diverse cargo profiles and a similar revenue base, while Canaveral's lower rating reflects its concentration in the cruise business and lower diversification of revenues. Minimum annual guarantees cover around half of operating revenues at all three ports. From a metrics standpoint, Port Tampa Bay has a lower leverage profile than both Jacksonville and Canaveral.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- New borrowings or meaningful reductions in liquidity resulting in leverage above 4.0x.
- Substantial declines in port operations leading to total rating case DSCR below 1.8x on a sustained basis.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

- Given the market size and the competitive port environment, further upward rating migration is unlikely at this time.

CREDIT UPDATE

Port Tampa Bay's operating revenues showed strong recovery in fiscal 2023 (unaudited), growing by approximately 18% from

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

The revenue recovery is supported by a continuation of strong cargo and rental performance, coupled with a recovery in cruise activity to near pre-pandemic levels. In fiscal 2023 (unaudited), total bulk and general cargo tonnage increased nearly 8% over the prior year and TEUs increased 22%.

Discussions are currently ongoing with cargo carriers regarding new and expanded services, and also with prospective new tenants regarding future investments in warehousing, transloading, and distribution projects. In 2023, the port entered into a new 10-year lease agreement with Glovis, providing additional vehicle storage area and warehouse space for a vehicle-processing center on Hooker's Point, supporting the port's continued diversification of its business lines. The addition of new container services, cruise services, as well as other cargo marketing efforts are expected to provide incremental growth in the near-to-medium term beyond that included in Fitch's base and rating cases.

The port anticipates completion of \$76.7 million in capital improvement projects in 2024. Capital projects will be funded by proceeds of the 2018 bonds, port revenues, federal & state grants, ad valorem taxes and investments by tenants.

Currently, there is a terminal expansion underway to increase both cargo handling capacity and terminal operating efficiency. The expansion includes increased storage to 97 acres from 67, berth expansion to 4,500 feet from 3,200, an additional new six-lane gate system (operational fall 2023), three new gantry cranes (operational fall 2023), and an on-dock trans-load warehouse facility. The increased paved storage is anticipated to be completed by 2024 and remaining projects by 2026.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Fitch's base case reflects preliminary fiscal 2023 operating results and the fiscal 2024 budget, followed by conservative expectations of future performance thereafter. The base case assumes revenue growth increasing at a five-year CAGR (2023-2028) of 2.4%. Operating expenses are projected to increase significantly in fiscal 2024, followed by a sustained 4% increase thereafter. The base and rating case both conservatively assume a potential borrowing of \$50 million in fiscal 2025, but conservatively do not include incremental growth from ongoing capital investments. Under the base case, senior and total DSCRs average 5.5x and 4.0x, respectively, through 2028. Total leverage peaks at 1.9x in 2026 following the assumed borrowing, but falls to 0.9x by fiscal 2028.

Fitch's rating case considers a hypothetical recessionary stress in fiscal 2025 that results in 5% decrease in revenues followed by a modest two-year recovery period. Operating expenses are stressed 0.5% above base case assumptions starting in fiscal 2026 and grow at a 6.5% CAGR through fiscal 2028. Under this scenario, senior and total DSCR average 4.5x and 3.3x, respectively, from 2024 through 2028. Similar to the base case, total leverage peaks at 2.4x in fiscal 2026 before declining to 1.2x by 2028.

SECURITY

The district's outstanding revenue bonds and senior bank loans are secured by a parity lien on net revenues derived from port operations. Under the indenture, property tax receipts are excluded from the definition of pledged gross revenues.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [https://www.fitchratings.com/esg-relevance-scores](#)

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

Jennie Mu

Associate Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+1 646 582 4748

jennie.mu@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Anne Tricerri

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+1 646 582 4676

anne.tricerri@fitchratings.com

Emma Griffith

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+1 212 908 9124

emma.griffith@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS**Sandro Scenga**

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com**PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA[Transportation Infrastructure Rating Criteria \(pub. 16 May 2022\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)[Infrastructure & Project Finance Rating Criteria \(pub. 17 May 2023\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)**APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

GIG AST Model, v1.3.1 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)[Solicitation Status](#)

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. Sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are listed in more detail at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10238496>

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our [Privacy Policy](#).